-	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff, No: 1:15cr183
6	vs.
7	MICHAEL AHO KENNEDY,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	Before:
11	THE HONORABLE RAY KENT U.S. Magistrate Judge
12	Grand Rapids, Michigan November 30, 2015
13	Plea Proceedings
14	APPEARANCES:
15	MR. PATRICK MILES, U.S. ATTORNEY By: MR. RONALD M. STELLA
16	330 Ionia Avenue, NW PO Box 208
17	Grand Rapids, MI 49501 616-456-2404
18	On behalf of the Plaintiff;
19	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER By: MR. RICHARD D. STROBA
20	50 Louis Street NW Suite 300
21	Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2633 616-742-7420
22	On behalf of the Defendant.
23	
24	TRANSCRIBED BY: MS. KATHY J. ANDERSON, RPR, FCRR
25	

November 30, 2015 1 PROCEEDINGS, 2:56 p.m. 2 THE COURT: This is the court's case number 15cr183, 3 United States versus Michael Aho. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Aho, yes. 5 THE COURT: Michael Aho Kennedy. Mr. Stella appears 6 on behalf of the government. Mr. Stroba appears on behalf of 7 Mr. Kennedy. We're here today because Mr. Stroba has notified 8 the court that Mr. Kennedy wishes to change his plea from not 9 quilty to quilty. Is that right, Mr. Stroba? 10 MR. STROBA: That's correct, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: And is that -- do you also agree with 12 13 that, Mr. Kennedy? THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, you have a number of rights. 15 I'm going to go through some of them with you now. First and 16 foremost, as you sit here right now you still have the right to 17 remain silent. You don't have to say anything to me about what 18 you're accused of, you don't have to say anything to 19 20 Mr. Stella, you don't have to say anything to any member of law enforcement. But if you do say anything to anybody other than 21 22 Mr. Stroba, what you say can be used against you. Do you 23 understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And in fact, if we go forward here today 25

with your desire to change your plea from not guilty to guilty,

I'm going to be asking you questions about what you did and you
will have to waive your right to remain silent. Do you
understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: As you sit here right now, you also still have the right to persist in your plea of not quilty. You are presumed innocent of all the charges against you. government through Mr. Stella has the burden of proving you quilty on each charge beyond a reasonable doubt. You still have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury with the assistance of Mr. Stroba. At that trial you would have the right through Mr. Stroba to question or cross-examine any witnesses that Mr. Stella might call on behalf of the United States; you would have the right to call your own witnesses and have the court issue subpoenas or court orders that they appear and testify; you would have the right to present other evidence that you believe demonstrates that you're not quilty of the charges against you; you yourself would have the right to testify at that trial, or if you chose to, to remain silent and not have your silence used against you in any way. Do you understand all of those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: You do have the right to a lawyer. You have been and remain free to hire your own lawyer, however, you

asked the court to appoint a lawyer and I appointed or one of my colleagues appointed Mr. Stroba. He is a very experienced criminal defense lawyer in this district and does exclusively federal criminal defense work. Have you been satisfied thus far with the representation that Mr. Stroba has provided you?

THE DEFENDANT: I have.

THE COURT: Now, if you in fact you go forward and plead guilty here this afternoon, there will be no trial of any kind. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And you essentially will be giving up your right to a trial and all the rights that I just talked about that would occur in connection with the trial, but the one right that you don't give up is your right to counsel. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So Mr. Stroba will be with you to the end of this case whether it's the result of a guilty plea you tender here this afternoon, whether it's the result of a trial, whether the case ends here in the Western District of Michigan, or in some court of appeals somewhere. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: All right. In order to go forward with your plea, I'm going to be asking you some questions, and in order to do that I'm going to have my courtroom deputy,

```
Jennifer, place you under oath here in a moment. But you need
1
         to understand that once you've been given the oath and sworn to
2
         tell the truth, if you were to answer any of my questions
 3
         falsely here this afternoon, you would be subject to the
 4
         penalties of perjury and/or making a false statement, which are
 5
         separate crimes carrying their own penalties and own prison
 6
         terms. Do you understand that?
7
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                  THE COURT: All right. Jennifer.
 9
                  MICHAEL AHO KENNEDY, DEFENDANT, WAS DULY SWORN
10
                  THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, how far did you get in
11
         school?
12
                  THE DEFENDANT: Post graduate I think it's called,
13
         went to law school.
14
                  THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with high school.
15
         Where did you go to high school?
16
                  THE DEFENDANT: Petoskey, Michigan.
17
                  THE COURT: And did you graduate from high school
18
         there?
19
20
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: And then you went on to college?
21
22
                  THE DEFENDANT: Michigan State University.
23
                  THE COURT: Okay. What year did you graduate from
         Michigan State?
24
                  THE DEFENDANT: I think '71.
25
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: Probably shouldn't make editorial
         comments, but it's a great time to be a Spartan, isn't it?
2
                   THE DEFENDANT: It is, Your Honor, thank you.
 3
                   THE COURT: I agree a hundred percent.
                   And then where did you attend law school?
 5
                   THE DEFENDANT: Wayne State University.
 6
                   THE COURT: And did you graduate from Wayne?
 7
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
                   THE COURT: What year was that?
 9
                                   That would have been '74, I think.
10
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                   THE COURT: We followed the same college and law
11
12
         school trajectory, also a Tartar.
                   THE DEFENDANT: Oh.
13
                   THE COURT: As you sit here today, are you suffering
14
         from any physical or mental condition that would make it
15
         difficult for you to understand what's happening in court?
16
                   THE DEFENDANT: No.
17
                   THE COURT: In the last 24 hours have you had any
18
         drugs, alcohol, or medication that would impair your ability to
19
20
         follow the proceedings?
                   THE DEFENDANT: No.
21
                   THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, it's important that you
22
23
         understand that you have the right to have this hearing or
         change of plea hearing conducted in front of Judge Neff. Judge
24
         Neff is the Article III judge appointed by President Bush.
25
                                                                      She
```

is assigned to be the trial judge in your case, and she is the judge who will ultimately sentence you if you're convicted.

Judge Neff's appointment by the President is a lifetime appointment so she will be a federal judge as long, essentially as long as she is able to or desires to continue. I am not. I'm a magistrate judge. I'm not appointed by a President. I was appointed by the judges of the court. I serve an eight-year term. My duty essentially is to assist them in discharging their responsibilities. Do you understand the distinction?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you consent to having me handle this hearing today? If I do, let me just say what will happen. Because I can't find you guilty. And I can't accept -- I understand there is a written plea agreement which I have reviewed, but I can't accept the plea agreement or find you guilty. But what I can do with your consent is ask you the questions Judge Neff would ask, advise you of the rights she would as she would if she were here, and then after the hearing prepare a written report to her about what happens in court today, and a recommendation about whether she should accept your plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And understanding those things, do you consent to me handling the hearing?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: My courtroom deputy has projected on the 2 screen a copy of a consent form. Does that bear your 3 signature? THE DEFENDANT: It does. 5 THE COURT: Did you read and understand that consent 6 to proceed before me before you signed it? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: I believe your consent to proceed here is 9 a free will act by you, and informed, and we will move forward. 10 It's my understanding, Mr. Kennedy, that the 11 government has charged you in the indictment with a number of 12 crimes. Counts 1 through 6 pertain to mail fraud. Count 7, 8, 13 9 relate to the filing of a false amended income tax return, 14 and then there are forfeiture allegations also contained within 15 the indictment. Have you had the opportunity to review those 16 charges and discuss them with Mr. Stroba? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Do you believe you understand the charges 19 20 in each of the counts against you? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 22 THE COURT: And the forfeiture allegation? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: So my understanding is that here today 24 you'll be pleading guilty to only two of those counts, and that 25

would be Count 6, one of the mail fraud counts, and Count 8, one of the making and subscribing to a false amended U.S. income tax return count. Is that your understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

apply if you are convicted of those counts. On Count 6, the mail fraud count, the maximum penalty is not more than 20 years and/or a \$250,000 fine, special assessment of a hundred dollars, mandatory restitution meaning the law requires Judge Neff to order you to pay restitution of any amounts the government proves you have stolen, and then finally, a period of supervised release of not more than three years. Supervised release is a period of time following any prison term you might serve during which you're under the court's supervision and subject to conditions imposed by Judge Neff.

And first and foremost among those conditions would be that you not commit a new crime. And there are consequences for violating supervised release. So I'm going to give you an example. If Judge Neff were to impose the maximum three years of supervised release, and during supervision you committed some violation of your supervised release, she could revoke your supervision and order you to go back to prison for up to the entire term of supervised release, under my example of three years. So do you understand how supervised release works?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you believe you understand the maximum 2 penalties then that attach to Count 6? 3 THE DEFENDANT: T do. 4 THE COURT: All right. Count 8, the false amended tax 5 return count, carries a maximum prison term of three years, 6 and/or a \$250,000 fine, a period of supervised release of not 7 more than one year, a mandatory special assessment or court 8 costs of a hundred dollars, and also provides for restitution. 9 Mr. Stella, is the restitution under Count 8 mandatory or 10 discretionary? 11 MR. STELLA: Discretionary, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kennedy, do you understand 13 the difference? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So even though you said yes I'm 16 still going to explain it very briefly. You know, mandatory 17 restitution, which is required in Count 6, Judge Neff has no 18 alternative; if she finds money was stolen, she must order you 19 20 to pay it. In Count 8, if she finds money was stolen, she may but doesn't have to order you to pay. So that's the 21 22 difference. Understand? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I do. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Stella, can you please explain to 24

Mr. Kennedy the elements for each of Counts 6 and 8?

25

MR. STELLA: Yes, Your Honor. With respect to

Count 6, the mail theft, the mail fraud count, the elements

would be that the defendant knowingly devised a scheme to

defraud in order to obtain money or property; that the scheme

included a material misrepresentation or a concealment of a

material fact; that the defendant had intended to defraud,

meaning he intended to bring about gain to himself or cause a

financial loss to another; and then finally in executing the

scheme that the defendant deposited or caused another to

deposit an item to be sent or delivered by the United States

Postal Service.

THE COURT: If I can have you stop right there for a moment, Mr. Stella.

MR. STELLA: Sure.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, do you believe you understand the elements of Count 6?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Stella.

MR. STELLA: And with respect to the filing of the false tax return, that the defendant signed an income tax return that contained a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury; that the income tax return contained a false statement; that the defendant knew the statement was false; that the false statement was material; and that the defendant made the false statement willfully, that is, with

intent to violate a known legal duty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Stella. Mr. Kennedy, do you understand the elements of the charge?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Stella, briefly, and I guess this is really touched upon in the plea agreement, but I just would ask you to briefly inventory for Mr. Kennedy what evidence you would produce at trial if in fact he decided, he changed his mind and said I don't want to --

MR. STELLA: Sure. Your Honor, with respect to the mail fraud scheme, the government would offer evidence that Mr. Kennedy became the trustee in 2006 of an elderly client of his, initials V.W., and that he had a duty, a fiduciary duty to manage her money. Pretty quickly after becoming her trustee, he began to take money that he was not entitled to, either as a legitimate fee for his trustee services, his legal services as her trustee, or to meet her monthly personal and living expenses. And instead what he did was repeatedly go to her bank, withdraw large sums of money from her investments, deposit it into his law firm's interest only account, and then would withdraw from that account and into his business account more than was necessary to meet his trustee fees or her living expenses. And that money in turn was spent on his own personal expenses, his business expenses, or to meet her monthly expenses.

The mails were used, Your Honor, because he would send a false monthly statement to her each month indicating that the balance of the trust account was actually more than it was. He was continuing each month to diminish the amount of the principal in the trust but yet he sent a statement showing that amount the principal was staying relatively stable and in fact was earning interest. That was all false.

By 2010, he had pretty much used all of her money, stolen all of her money. And at that point pitched another scheme to a different elderly client, someone with initials J.D., telling her that he could invest her money into the Hampton Funds which he claimed was an investment fund that he ran. In reality, it wasn't. That he took her money, immediately used it to help meet the monthly expenses that he could no longer meet for victim V.W., and then used up a good portion of her money before this all came to light.

So that is the facts that the government would show for the mail fraud.

THE COURT: All right. And then with regard to the false tax returns.

MR. STELLA: Yes, Your Honor. With respect to the tax returns, in 2007, 2008 and 2009, the defendant reported all of the stolen income from the victim, V.W., on his tax return. The first year he was able to pay a very large tax bill, \$111,000 by using the victim's money. But by 2008 and 2009,

it's the government's position that he had diminished her funds so quickly that he was no longer able to pay the very large tax bills that were around 80 or \$90,000 for each of those years.

When the IRS came knocking to ask why he wasn't paying his 2008 and 2009 tax obligations, the defendant made a comment to the IRS that he had had an epiphany; he realized that -- and he realized that really was not income that was on his return, but it was loans or working capital that he was entitled to take.

And so he amended his tax returns to remove that money as income and then sought refunds from the government.

Those tax returns were false because the money truly was income as indicated in the original returns. He signed the false statement and the return under penalty of perjury and filed it with the IRS.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Stella. Mr. Kennedy, do you understand the evidence that the government would offer against you?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, if in fact you're convicted of Counts 6 and 8 or any other counts in this matter if you went to trial, for example, there are certain sentencing guidelines that would apply to your case. These guidelines were adopted as part of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. Have you and Mr. Stroba discussed those?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have.

1 THE COURT: And how they might apply to you, both if you were to go to trial and lose, or if you were to in fact go 2 forward with your guilty plea? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, it's important that you 5 understand that Judge Neff will not be able to determine what 6 the advisory quideline range applicable to your case is until 7 after there's been a presentence investigation, the preparation 8 of a presentence report, and both Mr. Stella on behalf of the 9 government and Mr. Stroba on your behalf are given an 10 opportunity to comment on it. Do you understand that? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Stella, does the government retain its 13 right to appeal under the terms of the plea agreement? 14 MR. STELLA: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kennedy, the government 16 almost never -- in my experience, both as a lawyer and judge, 17 never surrenders its right to appeal a sentence. And they 18 haven't done so in your case. Do you understand that? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that in the federal 21 22 system parole has been abolished. If you're sent to prison you 23 will not be released on parole? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: There is a concept called good time 25

1 credit; if you receive a prison sentence some inmates earn time off of their sentence by essentially following the rules, 2 staying out of trouble, and being productive while they are in 3 custody. Have you discussed that issue with Mr. Stroba? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Kennedy, that if 6 the sentence Judge Neff ultimately imposes upon you is more 7 severe than you expect, that you will not be allowed to 8 withdraw your guilty plea and in fact will remain bound by it? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do you believe now that you understand the 11 nature of the charges against you, the maximum penalties that 12 could be imposed upon you, and your constitutional rights? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Understanding all of those things, how do 15 you plead to the charges against you contained in Count 6 and 8 16 of the indictment? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Guilty as to both counts? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, is your decision to plead 21 22 quilty the result of any force, threats, or coercion? 23 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Is your decision to plead quilty the 24 result of any promises made to you by anyone that are not 25

contained within the plea agreement? 1 THE DEFENDANT: No. 2 THE COURT: Is your decision to plead quilty a free 3 will and voluntary act by you? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely. 5 THE COURT: Does your decision to plead guilty result 6 at least in part from plea discussions that took place between 7 your attorney and the government culminating in the written 8 plea agreement? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to read and 11 review the entire written plea agreement with Mr. Stroba? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to ask him any 14 questions that you have about the plea agreement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kennedy, we're going to go 17 through the plea agreement now. It's fairly lengthy. 18 going to start by asking Mr. Stella, I'm going to start by 19 asking Mr. Stella to summarize what the government believes are 20 the important terms of the plea agreement. And then we will 21 22 give Mr. Stroba an opportunity to add anything that he may 23 wish, and you an opportunity. Mr. Stella. MR. STELLA: Thank you, Your Honor. From the 24 government's perspective, I would say that what the plea 25

agreement is doing is it's giving the defendant the right to plead guilty to just two of the counts in the indictment as the Court has covered 6 and 8. In exchange for that, the government has agreed to leave some limited rights for the defendant in terms of challenging any sentence on appeal.

There is mandatory restitution for the mail fraud count, as the Court has indicated. Discretionary restitution with respect to the tax counts. There is an asset forfeiture provision. I don't know how much detail the Court wants on that. But the gist of the asset forfeiture provision is that the defendant will have a money judgment against him for an amount equal to or the amount of the restitution that is ordered with respect to the mail fraud count.

That judgment in terms of any payment against the restitution the government will suggest to the Department of Justice and ask for authority to apply that against the restitution but that does not necessarily have to happen. There is the chance here the defendant would be exposed to both the restitution amount and the money judgment amount.

THE COURT: I notice there was something in the plea agreement about a house in Petoskey.

MR. STELLA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Put on the record and explain to me what the situation is with that.

MR. STELLA: So the government is asserting its asset

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forfeiture rights with respect to the house because the defendant has agreed that money from the fraud, from the embezzlement and the mail fraud was used to pay for the house. The house has a \$67,000 equity in it, according to the government's calculations, and so what we are doing is if the defendant pleads guilty and his plea is accepted by Judge Neff, there will be an immediate judgment for that \$67,000 at that point. And then if the defendant can satisfy that \$67,000 by tendering money to the government at least 28 days before the sentencing, the government will agree to relinquish the house from the forfeiture rights that the government has in exchange for that money, and a judgment will enter for the remaining balance of the restitution minus that 67,000. It gives the defendant an opportunity to retain the home. I understand his adult son is living in it now. He wants to remain in that home. The government is only entitled to the equity interest, and that's why that provision is in there. If he can't meet it, we will enter the judgment for the full amount.

THE COURT: So the money doesn't actually have to come from Mr. Kennedy.

MR. STELLA: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know what's going on in the background here. But hypothetically, the son could choose to pay the 67,000.

MR. STELLA: Correct, Your Honor. The key is the

tendering of \$67,000 to the government 28 days before the sentencing.

THE COURT: All right. Fair enough.

MR. STELLA: The tax count, Your Honor, has other provisions in them. I think in summary, they require the defendant to cooperate with the IRS and in all respects, not just with respect to any restitution that's ordered in the criminal case, but to sign forms and provide information to allow his civil, the civil penalties that might follow from his false tax returns as well to be adjudicated. And I think that summarizes the key provisions from the government's perspective.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Stroba, anything you would add?

MR. STROBA: Just this, Your Honor. This is perhaps the third version of the plea agreement that we've had a chance to go over. I have gone over each version with Mr. Kennedy. Even this last version had a couple of changes that needed to be made before we could finally sign it and present it to the court. I believe during that time period Mr. Kennedy has had the plea agreement in his hands, a version of the plea agreement in his hand for quite sometime. So I believe he's had a full opportunity to review both the plea agreement terms as well as the factual basis provided in paragraph 7 on page 8, I believe it is, to satisfy the court that a factual basis

And more importantly, that he understands all of the 1 2 terms. The Court's question about the house, Mr. Stella and I 3 have had several opportunities to discuss that, and as the 4 Court might imagine, Mr. Kennedy is not in a position to come 5 up with that money, but if in fact there is some way, there's 6 also a lien from a bank on it that virtually takes up all the 7 rest of the equity that may exist. So there will have to be a 8 lot of negotiation done probably by somebody else. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kennedy, I do want to turn 10 your attention to the factual basis set out in paragraph 7 11 12 beginning on page 8. Have you read that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I have. THE COURT: I'm going to start rather than with you 14 with Mr. Stella. Mr. Stella, does the government believe that 15 the factual basis of guilt set forth in paragraph 7 is 16 accurate? 17 MR. STELLA: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Stroba, do you believe it's accurate? 19 20 MR. STROBA: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kennedy, do you believe it's 21 22 accurate? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: Do you agree with everything set forth in 24 paragraph 7, Mr. Kennedy? 25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, it certainly is a detailed account of what happened here. And even though your lawyer and the U.S. Attorney' office have agreed and you have agreed to stipulate to those facts, I would like you to tell me in your own words what it is that you did that you think makes you guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: Would you like me to stand?

THE COURT: You don't have to stand.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, as the plea agreement states, I had a very nice client who I understand has passed away, and I became a successor trustee for her trust. And during the course of time I withdrew the money from the account, it was under the trust's name, and would deposit it in my IOLTA account. And then from that IOLTA account I would periodically pay it out. And the two principal payees were for V.W. to cover her expenses as they came due, because as trustee I would pay for her expenses, and then unfortunately, and I regret, I took money and paid myself for various things, including trustee fees, including payments on the house, and for other instruments and activities. Again, I regret that.

Then for J.D., she had an account, much smaller account, and I cashed those CDs in. And I had a Power of Attorney over those, as I recall. And then paid those expenses for J.D. again, for the client, and then for my own personal

expenses.

And I understand, and I agree, and I apologize, and I regret that I did not understand that as fiduciary I cannot pay any moneys to myself. And that is the gist of it, and the -- the plea agreement accurately reflects more detail about it.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, I just want to clarify one thing you said. And that is, I mean you understood as a lawyer, as a lawyer who has evidently some experience in trust law, that you were prohibited by law from taking money out of the trust for your own purposes.

THE DEFENDANT: Well --

THE COURT: I mean are you, are you saying -- I just want to be clear. Let me ask you a different question. Leave that question aside for now.

You're not suggesting that you took money out of that trust account and spent it on yourself in the mistaken belief that it was okay to do that, are you?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: You knew it was wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You knew it was wrong while you were doing

it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And these actions took place in the Western District of Michigan?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, in Petoskey, Michigan. 1 THE COURT: And they took place in the time period 2 between July of 2006 and March of 2012? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And as part of these activities, you would 5 mail statements concerning V.W.'s trust account to her and 6 later to family members? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: And you would do that through the U.S. 9 mail? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. And I neglected to mention that 11 12 in my verbal essay. THE COURT: No problem. And then you amended your tax 13 return for the year 2009, is that right? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And in the amendment, you made statements 16 that you knew were untrue? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Stella, anything the 19 20 government would ask for by way of additional factual basis for the plea? 21 22 MR. STELLA: Just very briefly, Your Honor. 23 Mr. Kennedy, when you mailed the statements out, those statements that went to V.W. were false, correct? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25

MR. STELLA: It did not state a true balance of her 1 trust account? 2 THE DEFENDANT: No. 3 MR. STELLA: In fact, you didn't share the full information with your secretary who prepared those, is that 5 correct? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 MR. STELLA: You had the true statements of account 8 knowing the balance was reducing because of your embezzlement, 9 but your secretary started from a number she thought was the 10 same every month and maybe even growing, correct? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 MR. STELLA: And you knew that when you had those 13 mailed out by her? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 MR. STELLA: And the victims here, V.W., would it 16 surprise you that she was 81 years old in 2016 when you first 17 became her trustee? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. I knew she was aged. 20 MR. STELLA: And J.D., victim J.D., did you know she was 97 years old in 2010? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 MR. STELLA: And the personal expenses, I just want to pick up on what the Court was saying. I mean you know you 24 weren't authorized to pay money on personal expenses. Did you 25

```
spend some of this money on vacations with your family?
1
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
2
                   MR. STELLA: And a horse for your daughter?
 3
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
                   MR. STELLA: And many luxury items?
 5
                   THE DEFENDANT: Many items, yes.
 6
                  MR. STELLA: Okay. That's all, Your Honor. Thank
 7
         you.
 8
                   THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Stella. Mr. Stroba,
 9
         anything further from you, sir?
10
                  MR. STROBA: No, Your Honor, I believe the factual
11
         basis has been established as to both Counts 6 and 8.
12
                   THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, in addition to we talked
13
         about paragraph 7, factual basis count of the plea agreement.
14
         Have you read the entire plea agreement?
15
                   THE DEFENDANT: I have.
16
                   THE COURT: And have you had ample opportunity to
17
         discuss every part of it with Mr. Stroba?
18
19
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
                   THE COURT: Have you asked him every question that you
         had about the plea agreement?
21
22
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                   THE COURT: Do you understand each and every part of
         the plea agreement?
24
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
```

1 THE COURT: Mr. Stella, were you going to say something? 2 MR. STELLA: I was, Your Honor, but not until I knew 3 you were completed. 4 THE COURT: All right. Good time to say whatever you 5 have in mind. 6 MR. STELLA: Well, I just, Your Honor, I'm sorry, I 7 just wondered if we covered specifically the waiver of appeal. 8 I know it was mentioned but I don't know if we talked about the 9 acceptance or if we even need to. 10 THE COURT: We should. Absolutely. 11 12 MR. STROBA: Page 13, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Stroba. Mr. Kennedy, we 13 talked earlier about the fact that the government is not giving 14 up its right to appeal your sentence. Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: On the other hand, you are giving up some 17 of your appeal rights --18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: -- in addition to the trial rights we already talked about. Your waiver of your right to appeal is, 21 22 however, not absolute, it's subject to certain exceptions, and 23 those would include if you're sentenced on any count of conviction exceeding the statutory maximum sentence for that 24 count, you can appeal; if your sentence was based upon an 25

unconstitutional factor such as race, religion, national origin or gender, you can appeal; if you believe that the district court, Judge Neff in this case, incorrectly determines your sentencing guideline range, and you and/or Mr. Stroba object to that at or before the sentencing hearing, you can appeal that; if your sentence is above the guideline range determined by Judge Neff, and is unreasonable, you can appeal; if your plea as opposed to a sentence, if your plea itself was involuntary or unknowing, you can appeal; or if Mr. Stroba has provided ineffective assistance to you during the negotiation and entry of your plea you can appeal. But other than those six reasons you're giving up your right to appeal. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You should also understand that Judge Neff is not a party to this agreement and is under no obligation to accept any recommendation made by the parties; that if Judge Neff ignores any such recommendations and imposes any sentence up to the statutory maximum sentence, you cannot for that reason withdraw your guilty plea. You in fact would remain bound by it. I know we touched on that earlier. But do you understand that very important point?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that no one, not, not Mr. Stella, not Mr. Stroba, not me can make any kind of binding prediction about what sentence Judge Neff will ultimately impose.

1 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: Any other portions of the plea agreement 2 you think are important to note on the record, Mr. Stella? 3 MR. STELLA: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Stroba. 5 MR. STROBA: No, Your Honor, nothing in the plea 6 agreement. Although, I would state for the record just so it's 7 clear, there are state charges pending against Mr. Kennedy. 8 Mr. Stella cannot bind the Attorney General who is prosecuting 9 those charges. I have explained that to Mr. Kennedy. We have 10 no understanding on how those charges will be resolved. I 11 don't represent him on those charges, of course. But there has 12 been some discussion about that, some discussion about what we 13 hope may happen in regard to those charges, but that is not 14 part of the plea agreement here. And Mr. Kennedy and I have 15 had a lengthy discussion about that. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kennedy, do you understand what 17 Mr. Stroba just said? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 20 THE COURT: Do you agree with what he just said? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 22 MR. STROBA: Nothing further, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Because he's right. I mean, you know, 24 Mr. Stella can't, who represents the United States of America, can't bind the State of Michigan. So he couldn't, Mr. Stella 25

could not promise you that any state charges will be disposed of in any way. Because he simply lacks the authority. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I will say this. And this is not intended to suggest the outcome in your case. But it's not uncommon when there are pending state charges and then the United States brings charges based on the same conduct and obtains a conviction, it's not unusual for the State of Michigan to ultimately dismiss the state charges. But I have no idea.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: And you understand that can't be any part of your decision today.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kennedy, I find that your plea of guilty here to Count 6 and 8 was made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights that I explained to you; that it was made voluntarily by you and free from any force, threats, coercion, or promises other than those contained in the written plea agreement; that you understand the nature of the charges against you, the maximum penalties for those charges, your constitutional rights, and that the plea has a sufficient factual basis.

I'm going to defer acceptance of the plea agreement itself to Judge Neff. I will author a recommendation, a report

and recommendation recommending acceptance of your plea, adjudication of you guilty on Counts 6 and 8. A report and recommendation will be forthcoming. The case is clearly not appropriate for expedited sentencing.

I'm going to order the preparation of a presentence investigation report. Your case will be assigned to a probation officer. Probation officer will do an investigation, an independent investigation, which will include interviewing you at some length about lots of things like your childhood, your upbringing, education, work history, health history, family history, criminal history, if there is any. You'll be asked to sign authorizations which will allow the probation department access to reports, tax reports, employment, health, lots of different things. The probation officer will pull all of that information together and prepare a report for Judge Neff painting a far more complete picture of who you are and how you got to be where you are today. As part of that report, the probation officer will calculate your sentencing quidelines.

All of that will be disclosed both to Mr. Stroba and to Mr. Stella at least a month before you're sentenced. You'll have an opportunity -- I'm sure Mr. Stroba will sit down and go through the report with you. Both sides will have an opportunity to voice any objections they have to the report, suggest any corrections, or changes. If there are unresolved

objections to the report at the time of sentencing, Judge Neff 1 will decide those. 2 Until then, I'm going to order you to continue in the 3 custody of the U.S. Marshal. 4 Mr. Stella, anything else from the government at this 5 time? 6 Thank you, Your Honor. 7 MR. STELLA: No. THE COURT: Mr. Stroba, how about you, sir? 8 MR. STROBA: No, Your Honor. Regarding the 9 presentence report, though, I would indicate that's already, we 10 have already got that process rolling. The probation officer 11 has been assigned and a proposed scheduling date for sentencing 12 is in the works. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Wonderful. Mr. Kennedy, did you 14 understand everything that happened in court today? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I did, Your Honor. Thank you for 16 explaining it. 17 THE COURT: You're welcome. Do you have any questions 18 for me before I adjourn your case? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: I do not. THE COURT: Well, we will be adjourned then. And good 21 22 luck to you, Mr. Kennedy. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you for your time. THE CLERK: All rise, please. Court is adjourned. 24 (Proceedings concluded, 3:40 p.m.) 25

CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a transcript from the Liberty Court Recording System digital recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my ability. /s/ Kathy J. Anderson Kathy J. Anderson, RPR, FCRR U.S. District Court Reporter 402 Federal Building Grand Rapids, MI 49503